

# Empirical Evidence of the Causative Association between Spot, Futures and Options Market: An ARDL Model Approach

Vaishali Jain

Assistant Professor

Symbiosis Institute of Management Studies

Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India

Rahul Dhaigude (Corresponding author)

Assistant Professor

Symbiosis Institute of Management Studies

Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India

Rajiv Divekar

Director

Symbiosis Institute of Management Studies

Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India

Received: April 10, 2019

Accepted: May 28, 2019

Published: June 1, 2019

doi:10.5296/ajfa.v11i1.14645

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v11i1.14645>

## Abstract

**Purpose:** The purpose of this paper is to explore and provide evidence about the nature of short run causal relationship as well as the speed with which prices adjust towards achieving the long run equilibrium between cash and FAO markets in India as represented by National Stock Exchange. The study uses individual stocks for studying the underlying relationship.

**Design/Methodology:** The paper makes use of the auto regressive distributed lag model to study the causal relationship between spot, futures and options markets. The study makes use of the 15-minute interval trades data for the purpose of analysis.

**Findings:** The ARDL model shows a long run association between spot, futures & options (both call & put) prices but we do not have sufficient statistical evidence to conclude the short run causal association between the variable except for call and put options.

**Practical Implications:** The results indicate that derivative markets are not leading the spot market but spot market contributes towards price discovery in the FAO markets. Potential investors can take their positions and design their portfolio in the cash and FAO segments using the insights provided by this piece of work.

**Originality/Value:** This paper is an original piece of work towards evidencing the causative association between spot, futures and options markets using individual securities. Matters pertaining to price discovery process in Indian financial markets are issues of interest for financial thinkers, traders, investors and financial analysts.

**Keywords:** Futures and options markets, Autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model, NSE Nifty, stock market, derivatives

## 1. Introduction

“Price Discovery is the process through which asset markets reach equilibrium price levels. Increased information efficiency of a market allows for faster price discovery. This process in the stock market is aided by the presence of derivative markets, which allow for information to flow through another channel. Futures are one of the most commonly traded derivatives which support the spot markets in discovering the equilibrium price. As futures have inherent leverage and can be easily shorted, these markets tend to have higher liquidity than the underlying cash markets. Higher liquidity implies greater participation by various groups of investors and traders and hence faster information absorption. The addition of options market further improves the liquidity in the derivatives market which allows it to play a greater role in the price discovery process.

Research in the area of price discovery and information sharing amongst futures and cash markets is important from two main standpoints. Firstly, it has implications for market efficiency. Presence of arbitrage opportunities indicates an inefficient market. Secondly, the fundamental reason behind the introduction of derivatives markets is to increase liquidity and price discovery in the underlying cash markets through the trading linkages between these markets. This hypothesis assumes the importance of futures markets in absorbing and disseminating information to the cash market.” (Jain & Biswal, 2012)

## 2. Overview of empirical literature

Some empirical studies in the past tried to analyze whether the spot prices are determined by the futures price. They found conflicting evidence and gave some questionable understandings. By applying the unidirectional econometrical technique, few papers found the lead of futures markets over the spot markets. It implies that the stock market has a mellow positive prescient capacity on returns of futures contracts. Kawaller et al. (1987) used the TSLS regression and evidenced that spot prices were led by S&P 500 futures prices to the extent of 20 minutes to 45 minutes and that the futures prices were influenced by the spot prices by 1 minute. Finnerty and Park (1987) found that evidence of association between the price changes in index futures and spot but they did not provide any evidence for a causative relationship. Stoll and Whaley (1990) applied time series analysis on the futures returns of the S&P 500 index and Major Market Index (MMI) to inquire about their connection with stock index returns. They envisaged that MMI index futures returns and S&P 500 index futures returns had a lead over stock index returns by more than 5 minutes. However, they also found that futures returns were led by spot returns during the early periods of futures trading. Nonetheless, they neglected to manage the issue of short and long run equipose relationships in view of arbitrage exercises.

In another study Ghosh (1993), observed that the S&P 500 index prices were led by futures prices and the Commodity Research Bureau (CRB) futures index prices were led by spot prices. Tse (1995) estimated the leading and lagging relationship between futures and spot index prices of the Nikkei Stock Average (NSA). In his study, he used ECM on daily observations and found a long-run relationship between the two series. Shyy et al. (1996) explored the lead-lag association between the cash index and continuous assisted quotation (CAC) index futures. Applying the ECM on one-minute trading price they found that the cash index was led by the

CAC futures. However, it was also found that when the mid-quote points of bid–ask prices were used the CAC cash index led the futures. Gee and Karim (2005) analyzed the same lead–lag relationship in a study on the Malaysian markets using daily data of futures contracts over spot and index. The process of price discovery in the Hang Seng index market was investigated by So and Tse (2004) using the common-factor models of Hasbrouck (1995) and Gonzalo and Granger (1995) and the M-GARCH model. Using the 1-minute interval data from the Hang Seng index futures, Hang Seng index and the tracker fund (ETF) it was observed that the movements of these markets were interconnected. Fleming et al. (1996) investigated the US markets for the price discovery process in the spot and FAO markets in the presence of trading costs. They envisaged that the lead lag association between these markets was significantly influenced by the structure of trading costs.

Jong & Donders (1998) tested the lead lag association between the spot, options and futures contracts at the Amsterdam Exchange index and envisaged that the options market yields were led by the futures markets yields by 10 minutes. Gwilym & Buckle (2001) tested the lead-lag linkage among the spot index of Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 and its related FAO contracts, and found that both the futures and put options markets were led by the call options markets. Kang, Lee, & Lee (2006) used the returns of the Korea composite Stock Price Index spot, futures & options market to test the temporal associations and found the options market to be steering and trailing the futures market by 5 minutes only. Nam, Oh, Kim, & Kim (2006) studied financial markets in Korea to investigate the relationship among the Korea composite Stock Price Index spot, the index futures and options markets. The cross-sectional analysis as well as the time series analysis indicated a symmetric lead–lag association between the options and the futures markets with an exclusion for out of the money option contracts. Debasish & Mishra, (2008) tested the lead-lag linkage between the NSE Nifty index and its associated FAO contracts. They found a robust linkage between options and futures market where futures markets were led by the call options markets and put options markets were led by futures markets. Maniar (2011) studied the outcome of the existence of arbitrageurs on the contemporaneous relationship between the spot index, options & futures market and established that futures markets led the options markets by ten minutes. Ryu (2015) analyzed the informational content of futures and options transaction in KOSPI 200 index. They applied simple regression and studied the price influence of derivative trades over sequential time intervals. They concluded that not only the price discovery was greater in futures markets but they also led the options markets. (Lee, Kang, & Ryu, 2015) examined intraday data for KOSPI200 futures and options markets. They found a common deviation in the futures and options markets and the pattern of deviation was flat on the basis of intraday trades. They also envisaged that there was a strong linkage between the two markets with reference to dynamics of asset prices and trading volume. (Huang, Wang, & Wang, 2016), in their study on S&P 500 index options using the generalized spectrum method, found the statistical evidence of the options markets being inefficient. (Sim, Ryu, & Yang, 2016) tested the monotonicity characteristics of the options prices using the options trades on KOSPI 200 index and found that the options prices violated these properties and the increase/decrease in the prices of call and put options was concurrent. (Ryu & Yang, 2017) examined KOSPI200 futures and options markets to examine the mechanism through which prices of index futures and options contracts

correct themselves to eliminate price disagreements. They found a strong association between index derivatives and stated that though both markets adjust to price disagreements but options markets were more likely to follow futures markets. (Yang, Choi, & Ryu, 2017) analyzed the monotonicity properties of options prices and found that they did not correlate with spot prices, rather the change in call and put options prices often takes place concurrently.

Apart from these, there are some studies that are conducted on low-frequency data on the measures of volume, returns and volatility and have reported a bidirectional relationship. To name a few are, in 1992 Malliaris & Urrutia (Malliaris & Urrutia, 1992), in 2001 Bhanupant (Bhanupant, 2001) and Chen et al. (Chen et al, 2001), in 2003 Mestel et al. (Mestel et al., 2003), in 2008 Floros (Floros, 2008) and Mahajan & Singh (Mahajan & Singh, 2008), in 2009 Kumar et al. (Kumar et al., 2009) and in 2013 Brüggemann et al. (Brüggemann et al., 2013) and Gurgul & Syrek (Gurgul & Syrek, 2013). Similarly, Granger et al. (1964) examined the association among the trading volume and prices and could not find proof of a linkage between the two.

There are many studies conducted to find the causality for low frequency data but very few studies talk about the high frequency data, which is a phenomenon for intraday trade. This research paper tries to fill this literature gap especially regarding Indian Stock Market.

### 3. Data and Methodology

#### 3.1 Data Description

The study uses 15-minute interval intraday data on prices of spot and near month futures and options contracts, sourced from Bloomberg, for the period of January 2017 to December 2017. For options contracts, contracts with highest strike price were selected. S, F, C and P represent the logarithmic value of prices in spot, futures, call and put options market respectively.

#### 3.2 Cointegration

This paper makes use of the ARDL bounds testing methodology which was developed by Pesaran et al (Pesaran, Shin, & Smith, 2001) to test the long run equilibrium association between spot, options and futures markets. ARDL model provides a common dynamic measurement for approximating the long run and short run interactions between variables. This model makes use of the lagged values of dependent variables and lagged as well as concurrent values of the explanatory variables. With the help of these values, short run causality can be estimated directly while long run association can be estimated indirectly.

ARDL model comprises of estimating the following unrestricted ECM:

$$\Delta S_t = a_{0S} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{iS} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{iF} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_{iC} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_{iP} \Delta P_{t-i} + \alpha_{1S} S_{t-1} + \alpha_{2S} F_{t-1} + \alpha_{3S} C_{t-1} + \alpha_{4S} P_{t-1} + \epsilon_{1t} \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta F_t = a_{0F} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{iF} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{iS} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_{iC} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_{iP} \Delta P_{t-i} + \beta_{1F} F + \beta_{2F} S_{t-1} + \beta_{3F} C_{t-1} + \beta_{4F} P_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta C_t = a_{0C} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{iC} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{iS} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_{iF} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_{iP} \Delta P_{t-i} + \gamma_{1C} C_{t-1} + \gamma_{2C} S_{t-1} + \gamma_{3C} F_{t-1} + \gamma_{4C} P_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta P_t = a_{0P} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{iP} \Delta P_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{iS} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_{iF} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_{iC} \Delta C_{t-i} + \delta_{1P} P_{t-1} + \delta_{2P} S_{t-1} + \delta_{3P} F_{t-1} + \delta_{4P} C_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (4)$$

The advantage with using ARDL model is that it can be used regardless of the order of integration of underlying variables i.e. whether the variables are I(0), I(1) or fractionally integrated, doesn't affects the methodology. F-test is used to study the cointegrating association among the variables.

The null hypothesis of no cointegration among the variables in Eq. (1) is  $H_0: \alpha_{1S} = \alpha_{2S} = \alpha_{3S} = \alpha_{4S} = 0$ , against  $H_1: \alpha_{1S} \neq \alpha_{2S} \neq \alpha_{3S} \neq \alpha_{4S} \neq 0$ , which is denoted as  $F_S(S/F,C,P)$ . Similarly, for Eq. (2),  $H_0: \beta_{1F} = \beta_{2F} = \beta_{3F} = \beta_{4F} = 0$ , against  $H_1: \beta_{1F} \neq \beta_{2F} \neq \beta_{3F} \neq \beta_{4F} \neq 0$  which is denoted as  $F_F(F/S,C,P)$  and so on for Eq. (3) and (4).

Pesaran et al (**Pesaran, Shin, & Smith, 2001**) have proposed two sets of critical F values (upper bound and lower bound) for large samples, where one set supposes that all the variable are I (0) and the other set supposes all the variables to be I (1). If the calculated F values lie above the higher bound, we can reject the null hypothesis of no cointegration and conclude that the variables are Cointegrated implying a long run equilibrium relation. If the calculated F values lie below the lower bound, we cannot reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the variables are not Cointegrated. No inferences can be drawn if the F value lies between the upper and lower bounds.

### 3.3 Granger Causality

Engle and Granger (Engle & Granger, 1987) stated that if two series are I(1) individually and are Cointegrated, there would exist a contributory association at least in one direction, which can be detected using the vector error correction model. Causal association between a given set of variables can very conveniently detected using the Granger Causality test. A variable 'X' is said to Granger cause variable 'Y' if the prediction error of 'Y' reduces by using preceding values of 'X' and 'Y'. for the purpose of this study, Granger causality can be tested with the following equations:

$$\Delta S_t = \varphi_{10} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{11i} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{12i} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{13i} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{14i} \Delta P_{t-i} + \varphi_{15} \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_{1t} \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta F_t = \varphi_{20} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{21i} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{22} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{23i} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{24i} \Delta P_{t-i} + \varphi_{25} \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_{2t} \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta C_t = \varphi_{30} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{31i} \Delta C_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{32i} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{33i} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{34i} \Delta P_{t-i} + \varphi_{35} \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_{3t} \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta P_t = \varphi_{40} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{41i} \Delta P_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{42i} \Delta S_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{43i} \Delta F_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{44i} \Delta C_{t-i} + \varphi_{45} \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_{4t} \quad (8)$$

Where  $\varphi$ 's are the parameters to be estimated,  $u_t$ 's are the error terms and  $\varepsilon_{t-1}$  is the error correction term (ECT). Significance of short run causality is shown by the F-statistics on the lagged independent variables of error correction model. Similarly, significance of long run causality is shown by the t-statistics on the coefficients of lagged ECT. Lag length is selected using the AIC and/or SIC.

Also the models are tested for serial correlation using the Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test and for stability using the recursive estimates of CUSUM test. All the models were free from serial correlation and were found to be stable at 5 percent level of significance.

#### 4. Empirical results

The results of Bounds Testing are presented in table 1. Results indicate that cointegration is not present when spot prices are the dependent variable because  $F_s$  (S/F, C, P) is lower than the lower bound critical value at 5 percent level. However, when futures, call and put options prices are dependent variables  $F_F$  (F/S, C, P),  $F_C$  (C/S, F, P) and  $F_P$  (P/S, F, C) are higher than the upper bound critical value at 5 percent level. Therefore, we can say that there is a long run relationship when they are treated as a dependent variable.

Table 1. Results of Bounds Testing

<b>F-statistics</b>	<b>F-value</b>
$F_S(S/F, C, P)$	1.383215 (0.2370)
$F_F(F/S, C, P)$	423.2759 (0.0000)
$F_C(C/S, F, P)$	31.99496 (0.0000)
$F_P(P/S, F, C)$	30.30069 (0.0000)
F-critical at 5% level	I(0) 3.23 I(1) 4.35

The results of granger causality test are presented in table 2. Results indicate that when spot prices are dependent variable, there is no short run causality found i.e. futures, call and put options are not granger causing spot prices. Also the error correction term is not significant. When futures price is dependent variable, though we have a long run association coupled with a negative and significant error correction term, there is no short run causality among the variables implying spot, call and put options prices are not granger causing futures prices. The error correction term shows that the system achieves its equilibrium at a speed of 99.27 percent. When call options price is the dependent variable, there is a short run causality with put options prices but not with spot and futures prices i.e. put options prices do granger cause call options prices in short run but the same is not true for spot and futures prices. Also we have a significant negative error correction term implying the speed of adjustment towards achieving equilibrium in long run is 25.91 percent. When put options price is the dependent variable, though we have a long run relationship with a negative and significant error correction term, there is no short run causality among the variables implying spot, futures and call options prices are not granger causing put options prices. The error correction term shows that the system achieves its long run equilibrium at a speed of 19.5 percent.

Table 2. Results of Granger Causality Test

Dependent Variable	$\Delta S$	$\Delta F$	$\Delta C$	$\Delta P$	ECT <sub>t-1</sub>
$\Delta S$	-	0.066753 (0.9354)	1.07122 (0.3427)	1.733595 (0.1767)	-0.001470 (0.8554)
$\Delta F$	0.009469 (0.9906)	-	0.273945 (0.7604)	0.187493 (0.8290)	<b>-0.992741</b> <b>(0.0000)</b>
$\Delta C$	1.493275 (0.0923)	0.969910 (0.4872)	-	<b>1.698347</b> <b>(0.0399)</b>	<b>-0.259197</b> <b>(0.0000)</b>
$\Delta P$	0.851604 (0.5967)	0.541120 (0.8891)	1.473722 (0.1260)	-	<b>-0.195083</b> <b>(0.0000)</b>

## 5. Conclusion

Contrary to previous studies, this study establishes a long run association between spot, futures & options (both call & put) prices but we do not have sufficient statistical evidence to conclude the short run causal association between the variable except for call and put options. This implies that in long run, prices of futures and options together as well as individually, fail to



influence the prices in spot market i.e. they do not aid the price discovery process in spot markets. On the contrary, prices in spot and options market, collectively as well as individually, affect the prices in futures market. Similarly, prices in spot and futures market, collectively as well as individually, affect the prices in options market. In short, we can say that while spot prices aid the price discovery process in both futures and options markets, neither futures nor options markets affect the price discovery in spot markets in long run. This is also evident in futures prices converging with spot prices at the time of maturity but not the other way round. Also, the speed of adjustment towards achieving equilibrium is greater in futures markets as compared to options markets implying greater arbitrage opportunities in options as compared to futures markets. Finally, these findings can be used by investors and hedgers to take their positions. Also they can be useful for understanding the price discovery process in Indian financial markets, which are issues of interest for financial thinkers, traders, investors and financial analysts.

## References

- Abhyankar, A. (1998). Linear and nonlinear Granger causality: Evidence from the U.K. stock index futures market. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 18(5), 519-540. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199808\)18:5<519::AID-FUT2>3.0.CO;2-U](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199808)18:5<519::AID-FUT2>3.0.CO;2-U)
- Alphonse, P. (2000). Efficient Price Discovery in Stock Index Cash and Futures Markets. *ANNALES D'ÉCONOMIE ET DE STATISTIQUE.*, 178-188. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20076259>
- ANDERSEN, T. G. (1996, March). Return Volatility and Trading Volume: An Information Flow Interpretation of Stochastic Volatility. *The Journal of Finance*, 51(1), 169-204. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1996.tb05206.x>
- Ang, A., & Bekaert, G. (2007, May). Stock Return Predictability: Is it There? *Review of Financial Studies*, 20(3), 651-707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhl021>
- Anthony, J. H. (1988). The interrelation of stock and options market trading-volume data. *The Journal of Finance*, 43(4), 949-964. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1988.tb02614.x>
- Antoniou, A., & Garrett, I. (1993). To what extent did stock index futures contribute to the October 1987 stock market crash? *The Economic Journal*, 103(421), 1444-1461. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2234476>
- Antoniou, A., & Holmes, P. (1995). Futures trading, information and spot price volatility: evidence for the FTSE-100 stock index futures contract using GARCH. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 19(1), 117-129. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266\(94\)00059-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(94)00059-C)
- Basdas, U. (2009, October 23). *Lead-Lag Relationship between the Spot Index and Futures Price for the Turkish Derivatives Exchange*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1493147>
- Berkman, H., Brailsford, T., & Frino, A. (2005). A note on execution costs for stock index futures: Information versus liquidity effects. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 29(3), 565-577. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(04\)00048-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(04)00048-2)
- Bessembinder, H., & Seguin, P. J. (1992). Futures-Trading Activity and Stock Price Volatility.

*The Journal of Finance*, 47(5), 2015-2034. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1992.tb04695.x>

Bhagwat, D. S., Omre, R., & Chand, D. (2012, November). An Analysis of Indian Financial Derivatives Market and its Position in Global Financial Derivatives Market. *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research (JBM&SSR)*, 1(2), 45-59.

Bologna, P., & Cavallo, L. (2002). Does the introduction of stock index futures effectively reduce stock market volatility? Is the 'futures effect' immediate? Evidence from the Italian stock exchange using GARCH. *Applied Financial Economics*, 12(3), 183-192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100110088085>

Booth, G., Martikainen, T., & Tse, Y. (1997). Price and volatility spillovers in Scandinavian stock markets. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 21(6), 811-823. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(97\)00006-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(97)00006-X)

BOSE, S. (2006, Jan-June). The Indian Derivatives Market Revisited. *Money & Finance, ICRA Bulletin*, pp. 81-112.

Bose, S. (2007). Commodity Futures Market in India: A Study of Trends in the Notional Multi-Commodity Indices. *Money & Finance, ICRA Bulletin*, 3(3).

Bose, S. (2007). Contribution of Indian Index Futures to Price Formation in the Stock Market. *Money & Finance, ICRA Bulletin*, 3(1).

Boyle, P. P., Byoun, S., & Park, H. Y. (2002). The lead-lag relation between spot and option markets and implied volatility in option prices. *Research in Finance*, 19, 269-284. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-3821\(02\)19012-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-3821(02)19012-5)

Brooks, C., Garrett, I., & Hinich, M. J. (1999). An alternative approach to investigating lead-lag relationships between stock and stock index futures markets. *Applied Financial Economics*, 9(6), 605-613. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096031099332050>

Brooks, C., Rew, A. G., & Ritson, S. (2001). A trading strategy based on the lead-lag relationship between the spot index and futures contract for the FTSE 100. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 17(1), 31-44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070\(00\)00062-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(00)00062-5)

Chakravarty, S., Gulen, H., & Mayhew, S. (2004). Informed Trading in Stock and Option Markets. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(3), 1235-1258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2004.00661.x>

Chan, K. (1992). A further analysis of the lead-lag relationship between the cash market and stock index futures market. *The Review Financial Studies*, 5(1), 123-152. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/5.1.123>

Chan, K., & Chung, Y. (1993). Intraday relationships among index arbitrage, spot and futures price volatility, and spot market volume: A transactions data test. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 17(4), 663-687. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266\(93\)90006-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(93)90006-Y)

Chan, K., Chan, K., & Karolyi, G. (1991). Intraday volatility in the stock index and stock index

futures markets. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 4(4), 657-684. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/4.4.657>

Chan, S.-J., Lin, C.-C., & Lin, C.-H. (n.d.). The True Cross-Correlation and Lead-Lag Relationship between Index Futures and Spot with Missing Observations. Retrieved from <http://www.jgbm.org/page/9%20Shih-Ju%20Chan.pdf>

CHANG, E., CHOU, R. Y., & NELLING, E. F. (2000). Market Volatility and the Demand for Hedging in Stock Index Futures. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 20(2), 105-125. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(200002\)20:2<105::AID-FUT1>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(200002)20:2<105::AID-FUT1>3.0.CO;2-Q)

Chiang, R., & Fong, W.-M. (2001). Relative informational efficiency of cash, futures, and options markets: The case of an emerging market. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 25(2), 355-375. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(99\)00127-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(99)00127-2)

Choudhary, K., & Bajaj, S. (2012). Intraday Lead/Lag Relationships between the Futures and Spot Market. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics*, 5(9), 165-186.

CORNELL, B., & FRENCH, K. R. (1983). Taxes and the Pricing of Stock Index Futures. *The Journal of Finance*, 38(3), 675-694. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1983.tb02496.x>

Czerwonko, M., Khoury, N., Perrakis, S., & Savor, M. (2010, November). One Security, Four Markets: Canada-US Cross-Listed Options and Underlying Equities. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1717246>

Debasish, S. S. (2009). An econometric analysis of the lead - lag relationship between India's NSE Nifty and its derivative contracts. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.1108/15265940910980650>

Debasish, S. S., & Mishra, b. (2008). Econometric analysis of lead-lag relationship between NSE Nifty and its derivative contracts. *Indian Management Studies Journal*, 12, 81-100.

Dickey, D. A., Hasza, D. P., & Fuller, W. A. (1984). Testing for Unit Roots in Seasonal Time Series. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 79(386), 355-367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1984.10478057>

Diebold, F. X., & Yilmaz, K. (2009, January ). Measuring Financial Asset Return and Volatility Spillovers, with Application to Global Equity Markets. *The Economic Journal*, 119(534), 158-171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2008.02208.x>

Domanski, D., & Heath, A. (2007, March). Financial Investors and Commodity Markets. *Bank for International Settlements Quarterly Review*, pp. 53-67.

Easley, D., O'Hara, M., & Srinivas, P. (1998). Option Volume and Stock Prices: Evidence on Where Informed Traders Trade. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(2), 431-465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.194060>

Edwards, F. R. (1988). Does Futures Trading Increase Stock Market Volatility. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 44(1), 63-69. <https://doi.org/10.2469/faj.v44.n1.63>

- Ekta. (2013, December). Lead Lag Relationship between Futures and Spot Market: Empirical Evidence from Bank Nifty. *GLOBAL RESEARCH ANALYSIS*, 2(12), 162-163.
- Engle, R., & Granger, C. (1987). Co-integration and error-correction: representation, estimation and testing. *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-276. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1913236>
- FitchRatings. (2004). *Fixed Income Derivatives---A Survey of the Indian Market*.
- Fleming, J., Ostdiek, B., & Whaley, R. E. (1996). Trading costs and the relative rates of price discovery in stock, futures and options markets. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 16(4), 353-387. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199606\)16:4<353::AID-FUT1>3.0.CO;2-H](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199606)16:4<353::AID-FUT1>3.0.CO;2-H)
- Floros, C., & D. Vougas. (2007). Lead-lag relationship between futures and spot markets in Greece:1999 - 2001. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 7, 168-174.
- Frino, A., & West, A. (1999). The Lead-Lag Relationship Between Stock Indices and Stock Index Futures Contracts: Further Australian Evidence. *Abacus*, 35(3), 333-341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6281.00049>
- Fung, J. K., Jiang, L., & Cheng, L. T. (2001, August). The Lead-Lag Relation Between Spot and Futures Markets Under Different Short-Selling Regimes. *Financial Review*.
- Gakhar, D. (., & Meetu, M. (2013, March). Derivatives market in india: evolution, trading mechanism and future prospects. *International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services & Management Research*, 2(3), 38-50.
- Gupta, D. K., & Singh, D. B. (2007). Lead-lag Relationship and Information Spillover between Equity Futures and Cash Markets: Evidence from National Stock Exchange of India. Retrieved from [http://www.nseindia.com/content/research/res\\_paper\\_final185.pdf](http://www.nseindia.com/content/research/res_paper_final185.pdf)
- Gupta, K., & Singh, B. (2006). Random Walk and Indian Equity Futures Market. *The ICFAI Journal of Derivatives Market*, 3(3), 23-42. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.874913>
- Gwilym, O. A., & Buckle, M. (2001). The lead-lag relationship between the FTSE100 stock index and its derivative contracts. *Applied Financial Economics*, 11(4), 385-393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096031001300313947>
- Gwilym, O. A., & Buckle, M. (2001). The lead-lag relationship between the FTSE100 stock index and its derivative contracts. *Applied Financial Economics*, 11(4), 385-393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096031001300313947>
- Hamid, S. A., & Iqbal, Z. (2004). Using neural networks for forecasting volatility of S&P 500 Index futures prices. *Journal of Business Research*, 57(10), 1116-1125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(03\)00043-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(03)00043-2)
- Haq, I. u., & Rao, K. (2012). Long Run Relationship Between Spot And Futures Currency Rates: An Empirical Study on Currency Markets of India. *SMART Journal of Business Management Studies*, 8(2), 29-35.
- Hasbrouck, J. (1995). One Security, Many Markets: Determining the Contributions to Price

Discovery. *The Journal of Finance*, 50(4), 1175-1199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1995.tb04054.x>

ISMR. (2004). *Indian Securities Market: A Review*. National Stock Exchange of India Limited, Mumbai, India.

Jackline, S., & Deo, D. M. (2011). Price discovery in indian stock market - an empirical study with the s&p cnx nifty index. *APJRB*, 2(1), 56-65.

Jackline, S., & Deo, M. (2011, July). Lead - lag relationship between the futures and spot prices. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, 3(7), 424-427. Retrieved from <http://www.academicjournals.org/JEIF>

Jain, A., & Biswal, P. C. (2012). Price Discovery and Information Sharing between Futures and Spot Market: Evidence from India. *APAD 2012 conference*. Busan, South Korea. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2136420>

Jogani, A., & Fernandes, K. (2003). Arbitrage in India: Past, Present and Future. In S. Thomas (Ed.), *Derivatives Markets in India*. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, India.

Johansen, S. (1991). Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegration Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models. *Econometrica*, 59(6), 1551-1580. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2938278>

Jong, F. D., & Donders, M. W. (1998). Intraday Lead-Lag Relationships Between the Futures-, Options and Stock Market. *European Finance Review*, 1(3), 337-359. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009765322522>

Jong, F. d., & Nijman, T. (1997). High frequency analysis of lead-lag relationships between financial markets. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 4(2-3), 259-277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5398\(97\)00009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5398(97)00009-1)

Jr., J. F., & Carter, D. A. (2000). Evidence on the financial characteristics of banks that do and do not use derivatives. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 40(4), 431-449. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1062-9769\(00\)00050-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1062-9769(00)00050-8)

Kang, J., Lee, C. J., & Lee, S. (2006). An Empirical Investigation of the Lead-Lag Relations of Returns and Volatilities among the KOSPI200 Spot, Futures and Options Markets and their Explanations. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance*, 5(3), 235-261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097265270600500303>

Karathanassis, G., & I.Sogiakas, V. (2010). Spill Over Effects of Futures Contracts Initiation on the Cash Market: A Regime Shift Approach. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 34(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-009-0149-4>

Karim, M. Z., & Gee, C. S. (2005). The Lead-lag Relationship between Stock Index Futures and Spot Market in Malaysia: A Cointegration and Error Correction Model Approach. *Chulalongkorn Journal of Economics*, 17(1), 53-72.

- Karmakar, M. (2005, JULY - SEPTEMBER ). Modeling Conditional Volatility of the Indian Stock Markets. *VIKALPA*, 30(3), 21-37. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0256090920050303>
- Kavussanos, M. G., Visvikis, I. D., & Alexakis, P. D. (2008). The Lead-Lag Relationship Between Cash and Stock Index Futures in a New Market. *European Financial Management*, 14(5), 1007-1025. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2007.00412.x>
- Kawaller, I. G., Koch, P. D., & Koch, T. W. (1987). The Temporal Price Relationship between S&P 500 Futures and the S&P 500 Index. *The Journal of Finance*, 42(5), 1309-1329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1987.tb04368.x>
- Kawaller, I. G., Koch, P. D., & Koch, T. W. (1990). Intraday relationships between volatility in S&P 500 futures prices and volatility in the S&P 500 index. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 14(2-3), 373-397. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266\(90\)90055-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(90)90055-7)
- Kenourgios, D. (2004, October). Price Discovery in the Athens Derivatives Exchange: Evidence for the FTSE/ASE-20 Futures Market. *Economic and Business Review*, 6(3), 229-243.
- Kumar, U., & Tse, Y. (2009). Single-stock futures: Evidence from the Indian securities market. *Global Finance Journal*, 20(3), 220-234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfj.2009.06.004>
- Kummer, S., & Pauletto, C. (2012, May 3). *The History of Derivatives: A Few Milestones*. EFTA Seminar on Regulation of Derivatives Markets. Zurich.
- Lee, B.-S., & Rui, O. M. (2002). The dynamic relationship between stock returns and trading volume: Domestic and cross-country evidence. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 26(1), 51-78. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(00\)00173-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(00)00173-4)
- LiPuma, E., & Lee, B. (2005). Financial derivatives and the rise of circulation. *Economy and Society*, 34(3), 404-427. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085140500111931>
- MacKinlay, A., & Ramaswamy, K. (1988). Index-futures arbitrage and the behavior of stock index futures prices. *Review of Financial Studies*, 1(2), 137-158. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/1.2.137>
- Mall, M., Bal, R. K., & Mishra, P. K. (2012, February). RELATION BETWEEN SPOT AND INDEX FUTURES MARKET IN INDIA. *International Journal of Research in Finance & Marketing*, 2(2), 104-111. Retrieved from <http://www.mairec.org>
- Maniar, H. M. (2011, March). Arbitrage Opportunities In Intraday Trading Between Futures, Options And Cash Markets - A Case Study On NSE India. *Finance India*, 25(1), 163-190.
- Martens, M. (2002). Measuring and forecasting S&P 500 index-futures volatility using high-frequency data. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 22(6), 497-518. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.10016>
- Maurer, B. (2002). Repressed futures: financial derivatives' theological unconscious. *Economy and Society*, 31(1), 15-36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085140120109231>
- Min, J. H., & Najand, M. (1999). A further investigation of the lead-lag relationship between

the spot market and stock index futures: Early evidence from Korea. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 19(2), 217-232. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199904\)19:2<217::AID-FUT5>3.0.CO;2-8](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199904)19:2<217::AID-FUT5>3.0.CO;2-8)

Nam, S. O., Oh, S., Kim, H. K., & Kim, B. C. (2006). An empirical analysis of the price discovery and the pricing bias in the KOSPI 200 stock index derivatives markets. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 15, 398-414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2006.02.003>

O'Connor, M. L. (1999). The Cross-Sectional Relationship Between Trading Costs and Lead/Lag Effects in Stock & Option Markets. *The Financial Review*, 34(4), 95-117. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6288.1999.tb00471.x>

Okur, M., & Cevik, E. I. (2012). Testing intraday volatility spillovers in turkish capital markets: evidence from ise. *Ekonomika istraživanja-economic research*, 26(3), 99-116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2013.11517624>

Pallavi, E., & Ravindra, D. P. (2012, January). Financial derivatives in india: development pattern and trading impact on the volatility of nse. *International journal of research in commerce, IT & management*, 2(1), 89-93.

Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 16, 289-326. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.616>

Pindyck, R. S. (2001). The Dynamics of Commodity Spot and Futures Markets: A Primer. *The Energy Journal*, 22(3), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.5547/ISSN0195-6574-EJ-Vol22-No3-1>

Pizzi, M. A., Economopoulos, A. J., & O'Neill, H. M. (1998). An examination of the relationship between stock index cash and futures markets: A co-integration approach. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 18(3), 297-305. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199805\)18:3<297::AID-FUT4>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199805)18:3<297::AID-FUT4>3.0.CO;2-3)

Poshakwale, S. (2002). The Random Walk Hypothesis in the Emerging Indian Stock Market. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 29(9-10), 1275-1299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.00469>

Poterba, J. M., & Summers, L. H. (1986, december). The Persistence of Volatility and Stock Market Fluctuations. *American Economic Review*, 1142-1151.

Purohit, D., Chhatwal, H., & Puri, H. (2012, JULY). An Empirical investigation into causal relationship between spot and future prices of crude oil. *International journal of research in commerce, economics and management*, 2(7), 24-29.

Ryoo, H. J., & Smith, G. (2004). The impact of stock index futures on the Korean stock market. *Applied Financial Economics*, 14, 243-251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201183>

Ryoo, H.-J., & Smith, G. (2004). The impact of stock index futures on the Korean stock market. *Applied Financial Economics*, 14(4), 243-251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201183>

S, M. S., & V, D. R. (2014, Mar-Apr). A Study of Derivatives Market in India and its Current

Position in Global Financial Derivatives Markets. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, 3(3), 25-42. <https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-0332542>

Salinger, M. A. (1989). Stock market margin requirements and volatility: Implications for regulation of stock index futures. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 3(2-3), 121-138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00122797>

Silvapulle, P., & A.Moosa, I. (1999). The relationship between spot and futures prices: Evidence from the crude oil market. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 19(2), 175-193. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199904\)19:2<175::AID-FUT3>3.0.CO;2-H](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199904)19:2<175::AID-FUT3>3.0.CO;2-H)

Songyoo, K. (2013, January). Technical Trading Strategy in Spot and Future Markets: Arbitrage Signaling. *CMRI Working Paper 6/2013*.

Srinivasan, P. (2011). Stock Futures Trading Information and Spot Price Volatility: Evidence from the Indian Pharmaceutical Sector. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 7(1), 81-91. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097324701100700105>

Srinivasan, P., Srinivasan, K., & Deo, M. (2009). Impact of Derivatives and Asymmetric Effect on Indian Stock Market Volatility. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 5(1), 11-18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097324700900500302>

Stephan, J. A., & Whaley, R. E. (1990). Intraday price change and trading volume relations in the stock and stock option markets. *The Journal of Finance*, 45(1), 191-220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1990.tb05087.x>

Stoll, H. R., & Whaley, R. E. (1990). The Dynamics of Stock Index and Stock Index Futures Returns. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 25(4), 441-468. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2331010>

Stoll, H., & Whaley, R. (1990). Stock market structure and volatility. *Review of Financial Studies*, 3(1), 37-71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/3.1.37>

Subrahmanyam, A. (1991). A theory of trading in stock index futures. *Review of Financial Studies*, 4(1), 17-51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/4.1.17>

Sudhan, R. I., & Prithiviraj, G. (2012, August 10). A Study on Lead Lag Relationship between Selected Index in Indian Financial Markets. *International Conference on Synchronising Management Theories and Business Practices: Challenges Ahead*. Chidambaram, India: Annamalai University. Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2187230>

Sundaram, R. K. (2013, February). Derivatives in Financial Market Development. Retrieved from [www.theigc.org](http://www.theigc.org): <http://pages.stern.nyu.edu/~e rsundara>

Tse, Y. (1999). Price discovery and volatility spillovers in the DJIA index and futures markets. *Journal of Futures Markets*, 19(8), 911-930. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9934\(199912\)19:8<911::AID-FUT4>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9934(199912)19:8<911::AID-FUT4>3.0.CO;2-Q)

Tse, Y. K. (1995). Lead-lag relationship between spot index and futures price of the nikkei stock average. *Journal of Forecasting*, 14(7), 553-563. <https://doi.org/10.1002/for.3980140702>



- TSE, Y. K., & Chan, W.-S. (2010). The Lead-Lag Relationship between the S&P 500 Spot and Futures Markets: An Intraday-Data Analysis Using Threshold Regression Model. *The Japanese Economic Review, Research Collection School of Economics (Open Access) paper 492*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5876.2009.00481.x>
- Unlu, U., & Ersoy, E. (2012). The Causal Relationship between Foreign Currency Futures and Spot Markets: Evidence from Turkey. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 9(2)*, 208-212.
- Vashishtha, A., & Kumar, S. (2010). Development of Financial Derivatives Market in India- A Case Study. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 37*, 15-29.
- Wahab, M., & Lashgari, M. (1993). Price dynamics and error correction in stock index and stock index futures markets: A co-integration approach. *The Journal of Futures Markets, 13(7)*, 711-742. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fut.3990130702>
- Weber, E. J. (2008). *A Short History of Derivative Security Markets*. Crawley WA 6009, Australia: Business School, University of Western Australia. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1141689>
- Xie, S., & Huang, J. (2013, July). Price Discovery Function of Index Futures in China: Evidence from Daily Closing Prices. *Economic and Political Studies, 1(2)*, 40-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20954816.2013.11673859>
- Zakaria, Z., & Shamsuddin, S. (2012). Relationship between Stock Futures Index and Cash Prices Index: Empirical Evidence Based on Malaysia Data. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly, 4(2)*, 103-112.
- Zhong, M., Darrat, A. F., & Otero, R. (2004). Price discovery and volatility spillovers in index futures markets: Some evidence from Mexico. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 28(12)*, 3037-3054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2004.05.001>

### **Copyright Disclaimer**

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).