

Sociology in Schools: Fostering Social Awareness and Responsibility

Muhammad Ridhwan Sarifin^{1,*}, Sakinah Sidek¹, Abdul Talib Mohamed Hashim² & Mohamad Fauzi Sukimi³

¹Department of Malaysian Studies, Faculty of Human Sciences, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, 35900 Tanjong Malim, Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia

²Faculty of Human Development, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, 35900 Tanjong Malim, Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia

³Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: Department of Malaysian Studies, Faculty of Human Sciences, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris 35900 Tanjong Malim, Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia. E-mail: ridhwan.sarifin@fsk.upsi.edu.my

Received: May 31, 2024 Accepted: June 13, 2024 Published: June 20, 2024

doi:10.5296/ije.v16i2.22127 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/ije.v16i2.22127>

Abstract

This study aims to investigate the role of sociology in schools, specifically focusing on how it helps students develop social awareness and responsibility. By analyzing existing literature, the study aims to identify the methods used to integrate sociological concepts into school curricula and understand the impact of these interventions on students. The research method involves systematically analyzing scholarly documents such as peer-reviewed articles, books, and reports. The analysis focuses on identifying key themes and approaches used in implementing sociology in schools, examining the theoretical foundations, pedagogical strategies, and empirical evidence associated with integrating sociological concepts into educational settings. The findings emphasize the importance of incorporating sociology into school curricula. The study reveals that a sociological education not only enhances students' understanding of complex societal issues, but also cultivates critical thinking skills, encouraging students to question prevailing norms and inequalities. Additionally, the synthesis of diverse perspectives, as evidenced in the literature, contributes to the development of cultural competence and an appreciation for social diversity. The integration of sociology in schools emerges as a pivotal tool for nurturing socially conscious individuals who can make meaningful contributions to their communities. In conclusion, this research

highlights the transformative potential of sociology in schools. By fostering social awareness and responsibility, sociology becomes a catalyst for positive societal change. The insights gained from this study contribute to a deeper understanding of the role sociology can play in shaping socially conscious and responsible citizens.

Keywords: education, responsibility, sociology, school, social awareness

1. Introduction

In the field of education, sociology is a systematic discipline that aims to comprehensively study human societies, their structures, and the complex patterns of social interactions. By providing a conceptual framework, sociology helps students systematically understand the complexities of the social world. Rather than focusing solely on individual experiences, sociology encourages students to critically engage with the dynamics that shape societies. As Berger (2019) explains, the introduction of sociology in schools prompts students to explore the interconnected nature of social structures and institutions, fostering a holistic comprehension of the forces that shape collective human existence.

The incorporation of sociological concepts into school curricula has the purpose of equipping students with the analytical tools needed to understand and interpret the societal factors that influence their lives. By introducing foundational theories such as functionalism, conflict theory, and symbolic interactionism, students gain a broader perspective on the forces that shape social structures (Andersen & Taylor, 2019). This inclusion expands their intellectual horizons and fosters critical thinking skills necessary for navigating the complexities of contemporary society.

Integrating sociological concepts into school curricula is crucial for fostering social awareness and responsibility. This integration helps individuals understand their roles within the broader societal context. Sociological education provides insights into social inequality, cultural diversity, and the impact of social institutions (Henslin, 2018). It goes beyond intellectual exploration and calls for action, motivating students to actively participate in creating a more just and equitable society.

Incorporating sociology into schools has profound significance in shaping a comprehensive educational experience for students. By infusing sociological concepts into the educational framework, students gain a nuanced comprehension of the complex social structures and dynamics that influence individuals and communities. This understanding empowers students to critically analyze societal issues, cultivating social awareness and responsibility. Research conducted by Reay and colleagues (2018) emphasizes how incorporating sociological perspectives in education can have a transformative impact, enabling students to navigate and challenge societal norms and inequalities.

Furthermore, the work of Banks (2017) highlights the importance of including diverse perspectives and sociocultural contexts in the curriculum. This approach provides students with a broader understanding of the world they live in. By applying sociology in schools,

educators contribute to the development of critical thinking skills, encouraging students to question, analyze, and engage with the social realities that shape their lives. This aligns with Giroux's (2017) call for transformative education, which emphasizes the need for an educational paradigm that goes beyond the mere transmission of information and actively involves students in understanding and transforming their social worlds.

Despite the increasing recognition of the importance of incorporating sociology into schools, there is a noticeable gap in the existing studies. While some research, such as Warren and Lillard's (2018), has explored the positive outcomes of integrating sociological perspectives into educational curricula, there is still a need for a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges, limitations, and potential barriers to effective implementation. Additionally, studies like Sadovnik and Cookson's (2019) touch on the broader implications of sociological education but often lack in-depth examinations of practical strategies for integrating sociological concepts into everyday classroom practices.

Furthermore, there is a lack of research examining the impact of sociological education on diverse student populations, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds. Martinez-Aleman and Wartman's (2019) work delves into issues of diversity and equity in higher education, but there is a relative dearth of similar research within the context of sociological education in primary and secondary schools. Addressing these gaps is crucial for refining the implementation of sociology in schools, ensuring that it is both inclusive and effective in promoting critical thinking and social responsibility among all students.

To effectively address the challenges and barriers to implementing sociology in school curricula, we need to focus on a few key areas. Firstly, teacher training is a significant hurdle. Educators must be adequately prepared to teach sociological concepts, and this requires comprehensive professional development programs. These programs should not only cover content knowledge but also pedagogical strategies that engage students in critical thinking and active learning. Secondly, curriculum development is another challenge. We need to carefully integrate sociological themes into existing subjects in a way that complements and enhances the overall educational experience. Collaboration between curriculum designers, sociologists, and educators is crucial in creating materials that are both relevant and accessible.

Lastly, resistance to change can impede progress. This resistance often stems from entrenched educational practices and cultural attitudes within schools. Overcoming this resistance requires a concerted effort to demonstrate the value of sociological education. This can be done through pilot programs, evidence-based research, and advocacy, highlighting the role of sociology in fostering social awareness and responsibility among students. Addressing these challenges comprehensively will be essential for the successful implementation of sociology in schools.

2. Method

In this study, a qualitative approach was used by the researcher. The objective of this research

was explained through document analysis. Document analysis is a subcategory of content analysis research method. It involves analyzing communication messages that have been printed, published, or illustrated. In other words, it focuses on the text content, including words, meaning, pictures, symbols, ideas, themes, and any messages conveyed (Sabitha Marican, 2009). This scientific tool follows specific procedures to provide a new perspective, enhance the researcher's understanding of specific phenomena, or explain practical actions (Krippendorff, 2004). The reference documents used in this study were sourced from government department statistics, journals, and books. The selected writings were chosen based on keywords relevant to the research to ensure the study stayed on track.

3. Discussion

In the discussion section, the analysis results focus on the need for a sociological approach in schools, namely developing critical thinking skills, understanding social inequality, cultivating cultural competence and promoting social responsibility. In addition, this document presents possible solutions for addressing the challenges and barriers that may arise during the implementation of the sociological approach in schools.

3.1 Developing Critical Thinking Skills

The introduction of foundational sociological theories is crucial in schools for nurturing students' critical thinking skills. Three prominent theories—Functionalism, Conflict Theory, and Symbolic Interactionism—provide different perspectives for analyzing and interpreting the social world. Functionalism emphasizes the interconnectedness of social institutions and their role in maintaining societal stability. By understanding the functions of institutions, students develop a nuanced perspective on how society functions (Andersen & Taylor, 2019). On the other hand, Conflict Theory highlights the inherent conflicts and power struggles within society. Through this lens, students gain insights into social inequalities and how power dynamics shape societal structures, impacting individuals differently based on various factors such as class, race, and gender. Lastly, Symbolic Interactionism focuses on micro-level interactions and symbols that shape social reality. By exploring how individuals create and interpret symbols, students develop an understanding of how meaning is constructed in everyday social interactions, enriching their ability to analyze human behavior.

Critical thinking is an essential skill in sociology, enabling students to engage with and analyze the complexities of social structures. This skill empowers individuals to question assumptions, challenge norms, and scrutinize the underlying dynamics of societal arrangements. By cultivating a habit of inquiry, students can analyze prevailing beliefs and gain a deeper understanding of the implicit norms and values embedded in societal frameworks (Andersen & Taylor, 2019). Critical thinking also involves considering alternative perspectives on social structures. Students learn to appreciate diverse viewpoints and recognize that social phenomena can be interpreted in various ways. This skill fosters a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities inherent in societal arrangements. Additionally, critical thinking involves evaluating the implications of different social

structures. Students learn to assess the consequences of specific arrangements on individuals and groups within society, contributing to a nuanced comprehension of how social structures impact various aspects of human life (Ennis, 2011).

Analyzing social inequalities is a central focus of sociological inquiry. It requires critical thinking skills to understand and address disparities based on factors such as class, race, and gender. Critical thinking enables students to scrutinize the complex structures contributing to class inequalities. Understanding the intersections of economic systems, education, and access to resources allows for a nuanced analysis of how social class impacts individuals and communities (Andersen & Taylor, 2019).

Critical thinking is also essential for unpacking the intricate web of racial inequalities. By examining historical legacies, systemic racism, and institutional biases, students can develop a sophisticated understanding of how race intersects with social structures, shaping opportunities and life outcomes (Bonilla-Silva, 2019). In addition, critical thinking plays a pivotal role in analyzing gender inequalities. By deconstructing societal norms, stereotypes, and power dynamics, students can explore the ways in which gender influences social structures, impacting issues such as employment, education, and family dynamics (Ridgeway, 2019).

An intersectional approach, guided by critical thinking, acknowledges the interconnected nature of social inequalities. Students learn to consider how multiple social categories intersect, creating unique experiences of privilege or disadvantage. This perspective enriches the analysis of social inequalities by recognizing the complexity of individuals' social locations (Crenshaw, 2019). Peter L. Berger's humanistic perspective, as presented in "Invitation to Sociology," reinforces the importance of critical thinking in sociological analysis. Berger encourages students to adopt a humanistic approach, viewing individuals as active agents in shaping society rather than passive subjects. This perspective aligns with the essence of critical thinking, emphasizing the need for students to actively engage with sociological concepts, question preconceptions, and recognize the role of human agency in societal dynamics.

3.2 Understanding Social Inequality

Understanding social inequality in schools requires a critical examination of social stratification, privilege, and disparities based on class, race, gender, and ethnicity. This analysis is crucial for educators and policymakers to address systemic issues and create more equitable educational environments. Social stratification in schools can be observed through tracking systems, resource allocation, and educational opportunities. Lareau's (2019) research highlights the impact of social class on educational experiences, illustrating how students from different socioeconomic backgrounds navigate the education system. This exploration reveals how societal inequalities permeate educational structures, influencing academic paths and reinforcing social stratification. Reardon and Owens' (2017) research explores the widening income achievement gap in American schools, emphasizing the contribution of economic disparities to educational stratification. This research sheds light on how social class influences educational outcomes.

Examining privilege in the school context involves recognizing the advantages that certain groups have over others and understanding how these advantages affect educational experiences. O'Connor and Purtell (2017) examine the role of family socioeconomic status in shaping educational outcomes, emphasizing the importance of recognizing and addressing privilege to promote social mobility. Additionally, Lee and Zhou's (2019) work delves into the complexities of social mobility for immigrant students, highlighting how factors like race, ethnicity, and immigrant status intersect to shape educational paths. Disparities in education based on class, race, gender, and ethnicity have far-reaching consequences. Riegler-Crumb and Humphries' (2019) study examines the intersections of race and gender in STEM education, revealing how multiple identities intersect to create unique challenges and opportunities for students. Furthermore, Morris' (2018) research on the school-to-prison pipeline sheds light on the disproportionate impact of disciplinary policies on marginalized groups, particularly African American students. Understanding these consequences is crucial for educators and policymakers in addressing systemic inequalities.

Andersen and Taylor's "Essentials of Sociology" provides a comprehensive resource for educators and students to understand sociological perspectives on social inequality in schools. By integrating concepts from this textbook, educators can gain insights into the structural factors perpetuating inequalities in educational settings and work towards fostering more inclusive and equitable learning environments.

3.3 Cultivating Cultural Competence

Cultivating cultural competence in schools is essential for fostering inclusive and globally aware citizens. To achieve this, it is crucial to understand ethnocentrism and multiculturalism. Ethnocentrism, the belief that one's own culture is superior, can hinder cross-cultural understanding. Andersen and Taylor (2019) discuss ethnocentrism in relation to cultural bias and its impact on intercultural communication. Cultural relativism, as discussed by Ember and Ember (2017), involves understanding cultural practices within their specific contexts without imposing external judgments. This approach is fundamental for developing cultural competence in educational settings. Gollnick and Chinn (2018) explore the concept of multiculturalism, emphasizing the importance of recognizing and appreciating cultural diversity within education. Their work highlights the role of educators in creating inclusive classrooms.

Appreciating diverse perspectives is crucial for cultural competence. Sleeter (2018) emphasizes the role of educators in providing opportunities for students to critically examine different cultural narratives and challenge stereotypes in the educational setting. Oka, Goto, and Atkinson (2017) discuss the significance of incorporating diverse perspectives into the curriculum to create inclusive learning environments that foster cultural understanding among students. Tatum (2017) explores how educators can engage students in conversations about race and ethnicity, promoting a deeper understanding of diverse perspectives within the school community. Developing cultural competence aligns with the goal of preparing students for global citizenship. Deardorff (2017) provides insights into the development of intercultural competence, emphasizing the skills necessary for effective cross-cultural

communication and collaboration. Banks and Banks (2018) argue that educators can contribute to the development of culturally competent global citizens by incorporating global perspectives into the curriculum and engaging with diverse worldviews.

Kendall's "Sociology in Our Times" is a comprehensive resource for educators seeking to integrate cultural competence into teaching practices. Kendall offers sociological insights into the intersections of culture, society, and identity, providing practical applications for cultivating cultural competence. In language teaching, integrating cultural signs is essential for developing cross-cultural communication competence (Yi & Dan, 2023). The importance of cultural competence in today's multicultural world and the need to develop socio-cultural competence for effective intercultural communication (Erika et al., 2021).

3.4 Promoting Social Responsibility

Promoting social responsibility in schools is crucial for developing informed and engaged citizens. Andersen and Taylor (2019) emphasize the importance of sociological education in fostering a sense of social responsibility. They explain how understanding sociological perspectives empowers students to critically engage with societal issues and make positive contributions to their communities. Likewise, Musil (2017) stresses the role of education in promoting social responsibility and underscores the need to integrate it into educational practices to prepare students for active citizenship. Giddens (2018) provides insights into the relationship between sociology and social change, arguing that a sociological education can inspire a commitment to social responsibility by fostering an understanding of the dynamic nature of societies.

In understanding the role of social institutions in shaping societal values and behaviors, Hughes and Kroehler (2019) highlight the importance of helping students comprehend how these institutions influence social responsibility. Redclift and Woodgate (2018) explore the intersection of environmental sociology and social responsibility, underscoring the need to understand the impact of human activities on the environment as a way to cultivate responsibility towards ecological sustainability. Vanhala's research (2017) focuses on how social institutions can drive changes that contribute to social responsibility, providing students with a valuable perspective on the potential for institutional transformation.

The concept of the sociological imagination, as presented by Mills (2018), emphasizes the connection between individuals and broader societal structures. Fostering this awareness is crucial for students to recognize their roles in shaping society. Horton and Freire (2017) discuss the concept of individual agency and its link to social responsibility, stressing the importance of empowering students to recognize their agency in order to take proactive approaches to societal issues. Sen (2019) explores the role of education in empowering individuals for social responsibility, providing valuable guidance for educators seeking to instill a sense of agency and responsibility in their students.

Understanding the relationship between sociology and social activism, as discussed by McCarthy and Wolfson (2018), is crucial for fostering a commitment to meaningful social change. Henslin (2018) connects social responsibility with civic engagement, highlighting the

transformative potential of individuals actively participating in societal change. Checkoway (2017) focuses on community engagement as a means to encourage social responsibility, providing educators with an instrumental perspective on inspiring a commitment to social change. Henslin's book, "Down-to-Earth Approach in Sociology," is a crucial resource for educators who want to incorporate sociological concepts into promoting social responsibility in schools. Henslin emphasizes the practical aspects of these concepts, making complex theories accessible to students. This enables educators to bridge the gap between theoretical understanding and real-world relevance. For example, Ferrante's study (2019) emphasizes the effectiveness of incorporating practical applications in teaching sociology, as it enhances students' ability to connect sociological principles to their sense of social responsibility.

Henslin also promotes active learning strategies that encourage student engagement and critical thinking. This is aligned with contemporary educational research that highlights active learning as an effective teaching approach. Freeman et al.'s study (2017) supports this, showing the positive impact of active learning on student performance and retention, further validating the efficacy of Henslin's approach in promoting social responsibility through active engagement. Hughes and Kroehler's study (2019) emphasizes the use of real-life examples in teaching sociology as an effective strategy, which aligns with Henslin's philosophy and fosters a sense of connection between sociological education and students' lives. Henslin's approach naturally cultivates empathy and social responsibility by encouraging students to explore diverse perspectives. This corresponds with Adams and Markus's study (2018), which underscores the role of education in promoting empathy and prosocial behavior. In summary, Henslin's down-to-earth approach lays the foundation for students to actively consider their roles in shaping a socially responsible society.

3.5 Empowerment Against the Challenges and Barriers of Implementing the Sociological Approach in Schools.

To overcome challenges and barriers in implementing a sociological approach in schools, a multifaceted strategy is needed. This strategy should address teacher training, curriculum development and resistance to change. Teachers need robust professional development to effectively teach sociological concepts. Darling-Hammond, Hyler, and Gardner (2017) stress the importance of comprehensive training programs that go beyond traditional content delivery. These programs should include workshops, mentorship, and collaborative learning communities. The training should focus on both the theoretical aspects of sociology and practical pedagogical strategies to engage students in critical thinking and discussions about social issues. Incorporating experiential learning opportunities, such as classroom simulations and real-world problem-solving activities, can also help teachers effectively convey complex sociological ideas.

Developing a curriculum that integrates sociological themes requires collaboration between educators, curriculum specialists, and sociologists. Fullan (2019) suggests that a participatory approach, involving teachers in the creation and adaptation of curricular materials, ensures that the content is relevant and practical. This collaborative effort can result in interdisciplinary units that connect sociological concepts with subjects like history, literature,

and science, making the learning experience more cohesive and meaningful for students. Additionally, incorporating case studies, current events, and project-based learning can help contextualize sociological theories and make them more accessible to students.

Resistance to change is a common barrier in educational settings, often stemming from entrenched practices and cultural attitudes. Kotter (2018) emphasizes the importance of cultivating an environment that values innovation and continuous improvement. To address resistance, schools should prioritize open communication and actively involve all stakeholders—teachers, parents, students, and administrators—in the change process. Providing clear evidence of the benefits of sociological education, such as improved critical thinking skills and greater social awareness, can help build buy-in. Pilot programs and success stories from other schools can serve as persuasive examples of the positive impact of sociological approaches. Additionally, offering incentives and recognition for teachers who embrace and champion these changes can further reduce resistance.

4. Conclusion

The significance of fostering social awareness and responsibility through sociological education becomes evident in its ability to empower students with critical thinking skills, a nuanced understanding of societal disparities, and a heightened cultural competence. These outcomes collectively contribute to the development of socially conscious individuals capable of navigating the complexities of the contemporary world. Additionally, fostering social awareness and responsibility through sociology in schools is underscored by the transformative potential it holds for creating informed and engaged citizens. As the analysis reveals, a sociological education equips students with the tools to challenge ingrained perspectives, critically assess societal structures, and actively contribute to positive social change. The exposure to diverse perspectives and the cultivation of cultural competence further prepare students for an interconnected global society.

To optimize the impact of sociology in schools, it is recommended that educators and policymakers prioritize the integration of diverse perspectives into curricula, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of social issues. Furthermore, fostering an inclusive environment that embraces cultural diversity and encourages active civic engagement is crucial. Professional development opportunities for educators to enhance their competency in delivering sociological concepts effectively can also contribute to the success of this educational approach. In essence, the implementation of sociology in schools emerges not only as an academic endeavor but as a fundamental investment in shaping socially responsible citizens. By embracing these recommendations, educational institutions can play a pivotal role in nurturing individuals who are not only aware of societal complexities but are also actively committed to fostering positive change, thus contributing to a more equitable and harmonious global community.

References

- Adams, G., & Markus, H. R. (2018). *Toward a conception of culture suitable for a social psychology of culture*. In *Advances in Culture and Psychology* (Vol. 8, pp. 1-23). Oxford University Press.
- Andersen, M. L., & Taylor, H. F. (2019). *Sociology: The essentials*. USA: Cengage Learning.
- Banks, J. A. (2017). Citizenship education and global migration: Implications for theory, research, and teaching. *Educational researcher*, 46(2), 61-69. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv138wrq5>
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (2018). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives*. USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Berger, P. L. (2019). *Invitation to sociology: A humanistic perspective*. USA: Anchor.
- Bonilla-Silva, E. (2019). *Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and the persistence of racial inequality in America*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Checkoway, B. (2017). What is youth participation? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 83, 274-280.
- Crenshaw, K. (2019). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429500480-5>
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyster, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Palo Alto, CA: Learning Policy Institute. <https://doi.org/10.54300/122.311>
- Deardorff, D. K. (2017). Intercultural competence: A foundational skill for international educators. In *The SAGE Handbook of Intercultural Competence* (pp. 81-96). Sage Publications.
- Ember, C. R., & Ember, M. (2017). *Cultural anthropology* (15th ed.). USA: Pearson.
- Ennis, R. H. (2011). The nature of critical thinking: An outline of critical thinking dispositions and abilities. In J. B. Baron & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Teaching thinking skills: From assessment to curriculum* (pp. 9-26). W. H. Freeman.
- Erika, Varga., Tumentsetseg, Enkhjav., & Zoltán, Szira. (2021). Studies on competence in a multinational environment. <https://doi.org/10.21303/2613-5647.2021.001662>
- Ferrante, J. (2019). *Sociology: A Global Perspective*. USA: Cengage Learning.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2017). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(23), 8410-8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Fullan, M. (2019). *Leading in a culture of change* (2nd ed.). USA: John Wiley & Sons.

- Giddens, A. (2018). *Sociology*. USA: John Wiley & Sons.
- Giroux, H. A. (2017). *On critical pedagogy*. USA: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Gollnick, D. M., & Chinn, P. C. (2018). *Multicultural education in a pluralistic society*. USA: Pearson.
- Henslin, J. M. (2018). *Sociology: A down-to-earth approach*. USA: Pearson.
- Horton, M., & Freire, P. (2017). *We Make the Road by Walking: Conversations on Education and Social Change*. USA: Temple University Press.
- Hughes, M., & Kroehler, C. J. (2019). *Sociology: The core*. USA: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Kendall, D. (2019). *Sociology in Our Times*. Cengage Learning.
- Kotter, J. P. (2018). *Leading change*. USA: Harvard Business Review Press.
- Lareau, A. (2019). *Unequal childhoods: Class, race, and family life*. University of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429499821-75>
- Lee, J., & Zhou, M. (2019). *The Asian American achievement paradox*. Sydney: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Martinez-Aleman, A. M., & Wartman, K. L. (2019). *Higher education and inequality: Global perspectives*. London: JHU Press.
- McCarthy, J. D., & Wolfson, M. (2018). The role of sociology in social activism. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 44, 453-473.
- Mills, C. W. (2018). *The Sociological Imagination*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Morris, M. (2018). *Pushout: The criminalization of Black girls in schools*. USA: New Press.
- Musil, C. (2017). Educating for global responsibility: Learning, coherence, and educational reform. In *Civic engagement and community service at research universities: Engaging undergraduates for social justice, social change, and responsible citizenship* (pp. 61-74). Taylor & Francis.
- O'Connor, C., & Purtell, K. M. (2017). Pathways to educational attainment and upward mobility: Important but not equal. *Sociology of Education*, 90(4), 303-325.
- Oka, E. R., Goto, S. G., & Atkinson, D. R. (2017). Educating for diversity: Japanese Canadian perspectives. *The Urban Review*, 49(1), 23-41.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2006). Critical thinking: The nature of critical and creative thought. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 30(2), 2-9.
- Reardon, S. F., & Owens, A. (2017). 60 years after Brown: Trends and consequences of school segregation. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 43, 363-385.
- Reay, D., Crozier, G., & Clayton, J. (2018). 'Fitting in' or 'standing out': working-class students in UK higher education. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 39(3),

227-242.

- Redclift, M., & Woodgate, G. (2018). *The International Handbook of Environmental Sociology*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Ridgeway, C. L. (2019). Why status matters for inequality. *American Sociological Review*, 84(1), 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122413515997>
- Riegle-Crumb, C., & Humphries, M. (2019). Exploring bias in math teachers' perceptions of students' ability by gender and race/ethnicity. *Gender & Society*, 33(4), 581-608.
- Sadovnik, A. R., & Cookson, P. W. (2019). *Education, equity, economy: Crafting a new intersectionality*. Springer.
- Sen, A. (2019). Democracy and its global roots. In *Democracy, Sustainable Development, and Peace: New Perspectives on South Asia* (pp. 113-136). Oxford University Press.
- Sleeter, C. (2018). *Critical multicultural education: Teaching for democracy in a changing world*. Teachers College Press.
- Tatum, B. D. (2017). *Why are all the black kids sitting together in the cafeteria? And other conversations about race*. Basic Books.
- Vanhala, L. (2017). *Changing Institutions in the European Union: A New Institutional Perspective*. Oxford University Press.
- Warren, M. R., & Lillard, D. R. (2018). Sociology in the USA: A glimpse of disciplinary history. In *International Handbook of the Sociology of Education* (pp. 41-56). Springer.
- Yi, Li., & Dan, Cui. (2023). A Study on Integrating Cultural Signs of "Global Village" into Cultivating Chinese English Major Students' Foreign Language Competence with the Vision of Global Community of Shared Future. *Studies in linguistics and literature*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.22158/sll.v7n3p5>

Acknowledgments

The author would like to extend the gratitude to Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI) that helped managed the publications.

Authors contributions

Dr. Muhammad Ridhwan Sarifin drafted the manuscript. Dr. Sakinah Sidek were responsible for study design. Dr. Abdul Talib Mohamed Hashim & Dr. Mohamad Fauzi Sukimi responsible for the idea. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. In this paragraph, also explain any special agreements concerning authorship, such as if authors contributed equally to the study.

Funding

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Macrothink Institute.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.