

# A Literature Review on the Impact of Post-Pandemic Remote Work on Employees' Psychological Well-Being and Job Satisfaction

Tongtong Zhao

School of Human Resource Development and Psychology,

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia.

E-mail: zhaotongtong@graduate.utm.my

Dr. Halimah Mohd Yusof

associate professor, School of Human Resource Development and Psychology,

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Received: December 14, 2024    Accepted: January 23, 2025    Published: February 6, 2025

doi:10.5296/ijssr.v13i1.22514    URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijssr.v13i1.22514>

## Abstract

Remote work, once considered a temporary solution, has become a long-term arrangement for many organizations. However, this shift has brought both opportunities and challenges, prompting important questions about its effects on employees' well-being and satisfaction. Based on the Conservation of Resources Theory (COR) and Social Support Theory, this study systematically reviews the dual impacts of remote work on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction. The findings indicate that while remote work provides flexibility and enhances job satisfaction, it also presents challenges to psychological well-being, such as loneliness and emotional exhaustion. Future research should explore cultural and industry differences, the moderating effects of individual traits, and the optimization of hybrid work models, offering critical theoretical support for organizational policies in the post-pandemic era.

**Keywords:** Remote Work, Psychological Well-being, Job Satisfaction, Social Support Theory, Conservation of Resources Theory

## 1. Introduction

The global outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound impact on work models. As a key response strategy, telecommuting has not only transformed traditional work practices but also significantly affected employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction (Doi, 2024). Although remote work has brought flexibility and efficiency, its long-term effects, particularly in diverse cultural contexts and industries, still require further investigation (Zhu et al., 2018). This study systematically reviews the dual impacts of remote work on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction, drawing on the Conservation of Resources Theory (COR) and Social Support Theory. It aims to offer theoretical support and practical recommendations for optimizing organizational policies in the post-pandemic era.

## 2. The Evolution of Remote Work

Remote work (telecommuting) as a work model was first introduced in the 1970s with the aim of reducing commuting time and resource consumption while enhancing productivity and employee satisfaction (Bezovski, 2021). With advancements in technology, remote work has evolved through three major stages: the initial stage, the expansion stage, and the pandemic-driven transformation stage.

### 2.1 Initial Stage: Concept Introduction

In the 1970s, the primary goal of remote work was to alleviate traffic congestion and improve time efficiency (Khanza et al., 2021). However, due to limitations in communication technologies, its adoption was largely confined to high-tech industries and specific roles (Khodaparasti & Garbollah, 2022).

### 2.2 Expansion Stage: Technology-Driven Adoption

In the 1990s, the widespread adoption of the internet and the emergence of cloud computing technologies enabled remote work to evolve into a flexible work arrangement across industries (Folorunsho et al., 2024). Collaboration tools, such as Google Workspace, greatly facilitated cross-regional collaboration among employees. However, during this stage, remote work was primarily voluntary, and the boundaries between work and family life remained relatively clear (Wan et al., 2024).

### 2.3 Transformation Stage: Pandemic-Driven Change

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic marked the transition of remote work into a mandatory practice, leading to significant changes in its nature:

1) **Heightened Technological Dependence:** During the pandemic, remote employees became heavily reliant on digital tools such as Zoom and Microsoft Teams. For instance, the number of daily active users of Zoom increased by 67% in March 2020, while Microsoft Teams' daily active users rose from 20 million in November 2019 to 44 million in March 2020, and Slack gained 7,000 new paying users in the same period, a 40% increase compared to the previous quarter (Leonardi, 2021).

2) **Blurred Boundaries Between Work and Family Life:** According to the Work-Family

Boundary Theory, household interruptions (e.g., childcare and domestic responsibilities) significantly impacted employees' focus and psychological well-being during remote work (Bergefurt et al., 2021).

3) Sectoral Differences: From 2019 to 2023, job advertisements for remote positions in technology-intensive industries experienced more than threefold, while traditional sectors saw comparatively limited growth in remote roles (Hansen et al., 2023).

The concept of remote work has evolved from a simple “flexible work arrangement” to a complex system that must integrate technological support, employee needs, and family environments. This evolution has significantly influenced employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction, serving as a foundational framework for future research.

### **3. Literature Review and Analysis**

Remote work, as a distinctive work model, has garnered substantial attention from academia regarding its impact on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction. However, existing literature predominantly focuses on the short-term effects observed during the pandemic, with limited systematic investigations of its long-term impacts and underlying mechanisms. To address this gap, this section critically reviews and synthesizes the literature around three core themes: psychological well-being, job satisfaction, and intervention strategies.

#### *3.1 The Impact of Remote Work on Employees' Psychological Well-Being*

The impact of remote work on psychological well-being is multifaceted. On the positive side, remote work provides employees with greater autonomy and flexibility, alleviating commuting stress and improve work-life balance (Sharma, 2024). These factors enhance time management efficiency and significantly reduce stress caused by time constraints (Ray & Pana-Cryan, 2021). Furthermore, when supported by a positive organizational environment, remote work can further improve employees' psychological well-being (Mache et al., 2020).

From a theoretical perspective, the Conservation of Resources Theory (COR) posits that when employees perceive a lack of resources (e.g., emotional or technical support), their risk of psychological resource depletion increases substantially (Hobfoll & Lilly, 1993). For instance, employees who frequently work remotely are 23% more likely to report feelings of loneliness compared to their non-remote counterparts. Moreover, inadequate colleague or managerial support intensifies isolation (Miyake et al., 2022). This lack of team interaction and social support in remote work environments is a key factor contributing to psychological health issues. Furthermore, a study in the education sector revealed that over 70% of teachers experienced anxiety or distraction due to frequent use of online teaching tools, amplifying technological fatigue (Lijo et al., 2024).

However, the negative effects of remote work on psychological well-being warrant serious attention. Loneliness and social isolation are persistent challenges. The absence of face-to-face social interaction can lead to feelings of disconnection, and prolonged isolation may result in emotional exhaustion, further compromising psychological health (Wyatt, 2024). Additionally,

role conflicts and stress arising from technological reliance are prevalent issues. Studies have shown that household distractions (e.g., childcare responsibilities) and technical problems (e.g., unstable internet connections) exacerbate anxiety and burnout (Bezak et al., 2022).

By addressing both the positive and negative impacts, future research could provide a nuanced understanding of the complex relationship between remote work and employees' psychological well-being while offering targeted interventions to mitigate challenges.

### *3.2 The Impact of Remote Work on Employees' Job Satisfaction*

Job satisfaction is another critical dimension for evaluating the effectiveness of remote work. Research indicates that the autonomy and work-life balance facilitated by remote work significantly enhance job satisfaction. This is especially true for employees with family responsibilities, as the flexibility and autonomy of remote work allow them to more effectively balance work and personal life (García-Salirrosas & Rondon-Eusebio, 2023). In addition, many organizations have implemented supportive policies, such as technical training and psychological well-being services, to improve employees' work experiences during the transition to remote work.

According to Social Support Theory, organizations can enhance employees' job satisfaction by strengthening communication mechanisms and creating opportunities for team collaboration. Studies show that flexible work arrangements can improve job satisfaction by up to 62% and significantly reduce work stress by 20% (Ray & Pana-Cryan, 2021). The implementation of flexible work systems has been shown to increase job satisfaction by an average of 25%, with particularly strong benefits for employees requiring greater time flexibility (Hu, 2024). In the technology industry, flexible work arrangements boosted job satisfaction by 18% and significantly lowered employee turnover rates due to workplace rigidity (Economic Alternatives, 2023). Moreover, clear feedback channels and efficient communication platforms help reduce the negative effects of information asymmetry and role ambiguity in remote work environments (Kwon et al., 2019).

However, remote work can also negatively affect job satisfaction due to communication barriers and a reduced sense of belonging. In remote work settings, the lack of timely feedback and face-to-face interactions may cause employees to feel overlooked or marginalized (Nurzyński, 2023). These challenges highlight the importance of addressing communication and social connection issues to fully realize the potential benefits of remote work for job satisfaction.

### *3.3 Intervention Strategies and Optimization Measures for Remote Work*

Despite the numerous challenges posed by the remote work model, research has identified several effective intervention strategies. First, technical support and psychological well-being services are essential to address the negative effects of remote work. For example, online psychological counseling services and flexible work arrangements have been shown to effectively alleviate employees' anxiety and feelings of isolation (Wu, 2024).

Second, adapting and transforming organizational culture is equally crucial. Transparent

communication strategies—such as open-door policies, regular team meetings, and digital platforms—can foster employee engagement and satisfaction while reducing information asymmetry within organizations (Igbokwe, 2024). Additionally, studies recommend hybrid work as a primary working model for the post-pandemic era. By flexibly adjusting the balance between on-site and remote work, organizations can better address employees' needs for flexibility and team interaction (Santos et al., 2024).

In the long term, organizations should emphasize personalized intervention strategies. Tailored support—such as technical training and flexible work arrangements—designed according to employees' unique needs and job characteristics can significantly enhance adaptability and job satisfaction (Affum-Osei et al., 2020). These measures not only mitigate the adverse effects of remote work but also help cultivate a more appealing work environment.

In summary, existing literature reveals the dual impacts of remote work on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction, while also highlighting significant gaps in understanding its long-term effects, cross-cultural differences, and individual variability. Future research should more fully integrate theoretical frameworks to explore the complex mechanisms and optimization strategies of remote work, thereby providing more comprehensive scientific guidance for organizational management in the post-pandemic era. Although current research has highlighted both the benefits and challenges of remote work, there remains insufficient focus on its long-term implications, regional variations, and the efficacy of intervention strategies. This study aims to address these gaps through a systematic review, proposing theory-driven optimization strategies and directions for future research.

#### **4. Future Research Directions**

Through literature analysis, it has been found that there are still many research gaps regarding the impact of remote work on psychological well-being and job satisfaction. The following research directions hold significant exploratory value.

##### *4.1 Cultural and Industry Differences*

The effectiveness of remote work may vary significantly across different cultural contexts and industry sectors. For example, employees in collectivist cultures are more inclined to rely on team interactions, while employees in individualist cultures place greater emphasis on work autonomy (Liu & Choi, 2022). Such cultural differences may moderate the relationship between remote work, psychological well-being, and job satisfaction, requiring further empirical investigation. Future research could adopt a cross-cultural comparative study design, selecting countries with distinct cultural characteristics (e.g., East Asia and North America) to examine these moderating effects. Methodologically, a mixed-method approach incorporating both quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews could provide deeper insights into these cultural dynamics. In addition, comparisons between industries (e.g., the technology sector versus the traditional service sector) can reveal how industry-specific characteristics influence the implementation and outcomes of remote work.

#### *4.2 The Moderating Role of Individual Traits*

The personality traits of employees (such as extroversion or introversion, self-regulation abilities) may significantly affect their adaptability to remote work (Taboroši et al., 2024). Future research should adopt longitudinal study designs to examine how employees with distinct personality traits adjust to remote work over time. Experimental methods and survey-based analyses incorporating psychometric assessments can provide deeper insights into the relationship between personality traits, psychological well-being and job satisfaction. Additionally, it would be worthwhile to explore targeted interventions, such as implementing team support mechanisms for introverted employees, and examining their effectiveness in improving well-being and job satisfaction.

#### *4.3 Intervention Measures and Effectiveness Evaluation*

Although many organizations have started implementing interventions such as psychological support and technical training, their long-term effectiveness and cross-context applicability remain insufficiently explored. Future studies should use longitudinal experimental designs to compare the effectiveness of different interventions (e.g., psychological counseling, technical support, flexible work arrangements) over time. For instance, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) conducted within organization can assess the sustained impact of various strategies on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction. Furthermore, it would be valuable to investigate the combined effects of multiple interventions, identifying the most effective combinations and tailoring them to the specific needs of different employee groups.

#### *4.4 Optimization of the Hybrid Work Model*

Since the pandemic, the hybrid work model has gained popularity. However its long-term impact on employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction remains unclear. Future research could employ comparative case studies to analyze different hybrid models, such as varying ratios of remote to on-site work. Additionally, simulation experiments could be conducted to examine how the alignment between employee's work mode and actual arrangements influences their job satisfaction and psychological well-being. At the organizational level, investigating the hybrid model's impact on team collaboration efficiency and overall performance could offer valuable insights for optimizing its design.

By conducting in-depth research in these areas, scholars can strengthen theoretical foundation and provide practical guidance for enhancing remote and hybrid work models in the post-pandemic era.

### **5. Conclusion**

Remote work has significantly impacted the modern workplace, especially in terms of employees' psychological well-being and job satisfaction. On the one hand, remote work enhances job satisfaction by offering greater flexibility and autonomy, particularly benefiting those with long commutes or family responsibilities. On the other hand, it has also presents challenges, including loneliness, emotional exhaustion, and blurred work-family boundaries, which can undermine psychological well-being.

This article, grounded in the Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory and Social Support Theory, systematically analyzed the dual impact of remote work and its underlying mechanisms. It further proposed targeted organizational policies and research recommendations. From a practical perspective, organizations should enhance employee support by providing technical training, psychological services, and clear communication mechanisms to maximize the benefits of remote work while mitigating its drawbacks. Theoretically, future research should focus on cultural and industry differences, the moderating effects of individual traits, and the optimization of hybrid work models. These areas will provide a more comprehensive scientific foundation for improving organizational policies.

In summary, the successful implementation of remote work requires a multifaceted approach, including understanding employee needs, strengthening technical infrastructure, and enhancing organizational management. By integrating scientific management strategies with ongoing academic research, remote work has the potential to evolve into a more efficient and sustainable work model in the post-pandemic era.

## Reference

Affum-Osei, E., Asante, E. A., Forkouh, S. K., & Abdul-Nasiru, I. (2020). Career adaptability and ambidextrous behavior among customer-service representatives: The role of perceived organizational support. *Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management*, 40(1), 18–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08853134.2019.1594241>

Bergefurt, L., Weijs-Perrée, M., Maris, C., & Appel-Meulenbroek, R. (2021). Analyzing the Effects of Distractions While Working from Home on Burnout Complaints and Stress Levels among Office Workers during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Medical Sciences Forum*, 4(1), 44. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ECERPH-3-09075>

Bezovski, Z., Temjanovski, R., & Sofijanov, E. (2021). Telecommuting best practices prior and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Economics*, 69(1), 85–100. <https://doi.org/10.46763/JOE2160085b>

Doi, T. (2024). Psychological impacts of different organizational cultures toward telecommuting on workers. In H. Kalkis & Z. Roja (Eds.), *Social and Occupational Ergonomics* (Vol. 152, pp. 115–121). AHFE Open Access. <https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1005326>

Folorunsho, S. O., Adenekan, O. A., Ezeigweneme, C., Somadina, I. C., & Okeleke, P. A. (2024). Revolutionizing telecommunications with cloud computing: Scalable and flexible solutions for the future. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research*, 7(1), 53–72. <https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.7.1.0040>

Hansen, S., Lambert, P., Bloom, N., Davis, S. J., Sadun, R., & Taska, B. (2023). Remote work across jobs, companies, and space. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4380734>

Hobfoll, S. E., & Lilly, R. S. (1993). Resource conservation as a strategy for community psychology. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 21(2), 128–148.

[https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629\(199304\)21:2<128::AID-JCOP2290210206>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629(199304)21:2<128::AID-JCOP2290210206>3.0.CO;2-5)

Hu, C. (2024). The impact of flexible work systems on employee job satisfaction and productivity. *Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Science*, 8(7), 1660–1663. <https://doi.org/10.26855/jhass.2024.07.020>

Igbokwe, P. I. (2024). Communication strategies and employee productivity. *Global Journal of Social Sciences*, 23(1), 97–102. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gjss.v23i1.8>

Khanza, S. L., Suryani, E., & Hendrawan, R. A. (2021). Scenario model to mitigate traffic congestion and improve commuting time efficiency. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Business Intelligence*, 7(2), 112–118. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jisebi.7.2.112-118>

Khodaparasti, R. B., & Garbollah, H. B. (2022). Antecedents and consequences of telecommuting on public organizations in Iran: The case of West Azerbaijan province. *Kybernetes*, 52(6), 2145–2163. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-06-2021-0505>

Kwon, J. U., Hwang, J., Park, J., & Ahn, S. (2019). Fully asymmetric remote collaboration system. *IEEE Access*, 7, 54155–54166. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2912397>

Leonardi, P. M. (2021). COVID-19 and the new technologies of organizing: Digital exhaust, digital footprints, and artificial intelligence in the wake of remote work. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(1), 249–253. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12648>

Liu, Y., & Choi, J. (2022). Differential effects of cultural orientation on the changes in group creativity. *Korean Society for Creativity Education*, 22(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.36358/JCE.2022.22.3.41>

Mache, S., Servaty, R., & Harth, V. (2020). Flexible work arrangements in open workspaces and relations to occupational stress, need for recovery, and psychological detachment from work. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*, 15, Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12995-020-00258-z>

Miyake, F., Odgerel, C., Hino, A., Ikegami, K., Nagata, T., Tateishi, S., ... Ishimaru, T. (2022). Job stress and loneliness among desk workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan: Focus on remote working. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 27, Article 33. <https://doi.org/10.1265/ehpm.22-00107>

Nurzyński, F. (2023). Managing conflict among employees during remote work. *Remote Work Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.15611/2023.33.6.08>

Ray, T. K., & Pana-Cryan, R. (2021). Work flexibility and work-related well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(6), Article 3254. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18063254>

Santos, R. D. S., Grillo, W. D. N., Cabral, D., de Castro, C., Albuquerque, N., & França, C. (2024). *Post-pandemic hybrid work in software companies: Findings from an industrial case study* (pp. 68–78). In Proceedings of the 2024 IEEE/ACM 17th International Conference on



Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3641822.3641878>

Sharma, N. (2024). Remote work and psychological well-being: Exploring the impact on employee well-being, job satisfaction, and work-life balance. *International Journal of Social Science & Economic Research*, 9(1), Article 011. <https://doi.org/10.46609/IJSSER.2024.v09i01.011>

Taboroši, S., Rajković Avdija, J., Poštin, J., Terek Stojanović, E., Berber, N., & Nikolić, M. (2024). How can nature and nurture influence teleworkers: The influence of national culture and personal traits on job satisfaction. *Society and Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1556/204.2024.00008>

Thomas, L. P., Menon, V., Thomas, J., Ali, K. A., Soman, K. K., & Kumar, S. T. (2024). *Towards a model: Exploring fatigue factors in Zoom online classes* (pp. 1–5). In Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Current Trends in Advanced Computing (ICCTAC). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCTAC53756.2024.10581300>

Vladimirov, Z., & Yanchovska, I. (2023). Flexible working and IT employees' job satisfaction before and during COVID-19. *Economic Alternatives*, 4, 827–855. <https://doi.org/10.37075/EA.2023.4.10>

Wan, L., Wang, K., Wang, H., & Bai, G. (2024). *Is it safe to share your files? An empirical security analysis of Google Workspace* (pp. 1–13). Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3589334.3645697>

Wu, X. (2024). *The impact of remote work on workplace loneliness during and after COVID-19: A literature review*. *Communications in Humanities Research*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7064/39/20242253>

Wyatt, Z. (2024). The impact of remote work on employee well-being: A neurological analysis. *The International Journal of Business & Management*, 11(12). <https://doi.org/10.24940/theijbm/2023/v11/i12/BM2312-007>

Zhu, P., Wang, L., Jiang, Y., & Zhou, J. (2018). Metropolitan size and the impacts of telecommuting on personal travel. *Transportation*, 45(3), 385–414. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11116-017-9846-3>

## Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).